

Card #: 2

- (1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans
- (2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)
- (3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring
- (4) Establish a Science Review Board

Geographical boundaries of CIP should match the "action area" of NOAA Fisheries Biological Opinion. I have not reviewed CIP or the biological opinion (BO), but would

(9x)

believe that the BO probably analyzes impacts to the mouth of the Klamath.

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties  
*(listserver) can do relatively cheaply. Question how many  
farmers have internet access.*

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring  
*If community supported, ORE-CALRC could help facilitate water monitoring.*

(4) Establish a Science Review Board

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans Good idea

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring

(4) Establish a Science Review Board

Example

Try to not reinvent the wheel. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is currently working on a water flow study of the Shasta River and is not taking into account →

15 years of data that has already been collected and compiled by the likes of Stan Sears of Shasta Valley.

Start the instream flow from the mountain lakes to feed the Sutt River.

A call needs to be made to Regional Forester to Peg Boland, the <sup>Forest</sup> ~~Forest~~

Supervisor of the Klamath National Forest.

- (1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans
- (2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)
- (3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring
- (4) Establish a Science Review Board

Oversite structure is NOT going  
To Accomplish Restoration -

- OVER -

We don't need more admin -

we need support AT THE  
ground level To Accomplish

our Restoration plans -

Moving Forward with immediate  
Actions is PREMATURE.

The CIP is a long way From Final

Card #: 88

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans  
*plus have public meetings*

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)  
*plus newsletters + news*

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring  
*with stakeholders*

(4) Establish a Science Review Board  
*with the*

*engineering department*

*Change page #3 of the*

*CTP from "to allow..." to*

*say "allow..."*

*Not everyone has computers.*

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans  
*before other three*

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring

(4) Establish a Science Review Board

*(A) video conferencing for coordination council,  
(B) for an initial step Bureau could ask the  
various RCD's in the lower Klamath Basin (Shasta  
and Scott) for projects to fund (?) and representation  
to our RCD meetings.*

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring

(4) Establish a Science Review Board Yes

- ① Fund the creation of a state Incidental Take Permit for California irrigators
- ② Fund a work with Calif TTP and NOAA Fisheries for a state & fed TTP

3 - No more administration, support a local level up model.

4 - Provide funding to RCDs for projects ready to go for more restoration

5 - Fund Ag. pumping water in Scott and other rivers to get caho up if needed we have already shut down our irrigating

6 - Fund counting caho this winter

7 - Fund Campbell Lake storage & the high mountain lakes

8 - Tell FS Regional Forester to maintain mt lake water

Passed  
1/2/2013

Card #: 80

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans - *use & correct data & info available*  
*CRIS may be a good place to start*

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring

(4) Establish a Science Review Board

*Convene a Science Review Board to review data and make recommendations*  
*concerns expressed @ back meeting*

In general, integrate community activities / resources  
skills into the process.

Card #: 82

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring

(4) Establish a Science Review Board

Implement Marcus program

More local control / less Govt.

- (1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans
- (2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)
- (3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring
- (4) Establish a Science Review Board

Work on developing videoconferencing between HumboldtSU, CIT, COS, CA County Admin offices for meetings & any legislation (if needed) to permit

water storage (Long Lake, Cliff & Campbell Lake)

- (1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans  
*KRIS EXISTS. COULD BE RE-WORKED. FUNDING FOR UPDATES, EXPANSION. IT SEEMS LIKE THE PLACE TO START*
- (2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)  
*ELECTRONIC IS GREAT, BUT DISENFRANCHISEMENT OF RURAL PEOPLE, STILL NEED HARD COPY FOR SOME.*
- (3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring  
*SEVERAL, LIKE SOILS, ONGOING WITH THE T.F., FERC PROCESS, & PROBABLY OTHERS.*
- (4) Establish a Science Review Board

*IT SEEMS LIKE REAL PROGRESS WILL BE DIFFICULT OR IMPOSSIBLE UNTIL PEOPLE IN THE BASIN CAN AGREE ON A SET OF GOALS & TARGETS, REACHING BASIN-WIDE*

*AGREEMENT ON WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE, ON WHAT WILL CONSTITUTE "GOOD ENOUGH" SO THAT PEOPLE CAN HAVE SOME CONFIDENCE THAT THEY CAN DO IT AND MOVE ON TO OTHER THINGS.*

Card #: 45

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans Fund KRIS, not reinvent wheel

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver) yes listserver good and/or website

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring yes

(4) Establish a Science Review Board

Look at existing recovery plans (including SSRT) + fund identified high priorities

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring

(4) Establish a Science Review Board

Do not do 3 & 4. please proceed with  
intratly Marshes plan and setting money  
supervised together so funds can start  
being distributed. the money needs to

get out to where it is need.

There needs to be accountability Board  
elected officials are accountable.

- (1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans
- (2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)
- (3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring
- (4) Establish a Science Review Board — Need to include all scientific points of view that represent all stakeholders interests.
- (5) Need to except & endorse local adaptive management plans that are or could work.

(6) Agencies need to define what Recovery is?  
ie #s of Fish, Water Habitat, Flows for Instream  
needs so that achievable goals can be  
achieved.

Card #: 24

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring

(4) Establish a Science Review Board

NOT SURE THAT THE PROPOSED  
CIP PROGRAM WILL SOLVE THE PROBLEM

STAFF 4/12

ADDITIONAL IS A MUST

Now -

Card #: 23

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring

(4) Establish a Science Review Board

5) Look & priorities developed in the Upper & Lower Basin Sci. Conferences & move on some of those recommendations

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring

(4) Establish a Science Review Board

• Review effectiveness of ... of past restoration actions and compile reviews by practitioners of restoration successes/failures

Card #: 17

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring

(4) Establish a Science Review Board

(5) UTILIZE BOR FUNDS TO BE PREPARED TO REMBURSE  
FARMERS & RANCHERS TO RUN THEIR PUMPS TO  
SUPPLY WATER TO THE DISTRIBUTRIES &  
RIVERS TO BRING THE COHO UP THIS FARE.

Card #: 16

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans  
*Work with OIT*

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties  
(listserver)  
*Add to Planathgroup.org*

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring  
*yes*

(4) Establish a Science Review Board  
*Build on Halford  
Science Team*

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans  
*(talk with Marita Konkol at OIT)*

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)  
*Great*

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring  
*Great*

(4) Establish a Science Review Board  
*Great - could <sup>modify</sup> use Hatfield's*

(5) Support Chalkwick workshops to build understanding & trust - can facilitate planning

(6) Share Marcia's model <sup>in</sup> ~~with~~ future CIP mtgs  
as alternative org chart - add significant input to each subsequent meeting's discussion

(7) On your spectrum of CIP participation  
put vertical lines for each item  
so you can see more easily the differences between 1-5

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring

(4) Establish a Science Review Board

Pag 3 - # 2 - Completely clarify this ~~sent~~  
It sounds like you want to contribute to the  
Tribal Trust down fall!  
Make it positive - It should not be different.

The Shasta Nation Comment needs to  
show up in the document. Most of the  
time it is left out.

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans Yes

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring *Each area may already have identified the data needs*

(4) Establish a Science Review Board

Most Important - Make funding readily available for projects... no cumbersome applications. Keep proposals, reporting & billing simple. And make funding available

for staff to develop & manage the projects and day-to-day activities. It seems that we always know how many \$ are available, but never seem to be able to access the funds due to numerous criteria. That must be met by a project. So projects don't get done, as they may not meet one of the "criteria".

Example: A project must put more water in the river to get funding. Hard to prove!

Video conferencing - Yes.

Marsha has presented a good alternative. Use entities that are already up & running. RCDs & Watershed Councils already manage \$ and are set up to do so.

Card #: 6

- (1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans  
*put this information in libraries - e.g. Yucca County*
- (2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)
- (3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring
- (4) Establish a Science Review Board

*What will happen to the Russian Wilderness fish if the water is siphoned into the Scott?*

Card #: 5

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans  
Klamath River Information System KRIIS

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring  
Good

(4) Establish a Science Review Board  
for Quality Assurance

→ already created, comprehensive, and  
accessible - need continued  
funding

→ Quartz Valley Indian Reservation needs a

government to government consultation

(1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans

(2) Create an electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver)

(3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring

(4) Establish a Science Review Board

① Establish a group to develop evaluation criteria for prioritizing proposed projects. What protects have the greatest influence on limiting factors, provide the best bang for the buck, improve w/Q, etc.

- (1) Create a publicly accessible database of existing reports, studies, and restoration plans  
"K215" already exists - add # to that effort
- (2) Create electronic communication network accessible to all interested parties (listserver) ~~but~~ - Trinity List serve already exists  
Also Klamath Compact has ~~an~~ Klamath group
- (3) Convene water quality specialists to share data and identify data needs including gauges and monitoring too soon - see below
- (4) Establish a Science Review Board Too soon - get structure set first to know who their sponsor is.
- (5) fund additional Consensus Workshops by Bob Chadwick to develop communication throughout the watershed w/ various stakeholders.
- (6) See SSRT Coho Recovery Plan for numerous projects/studies that can start now